

Dr.med. Bianca Sabine Witzschel

Dr.med. Bianca Sabine Witzschel

Open letter to

Mrs.
Nina Warken, MdB
Deutscher Bundestag
Platz der Republik 1

From

Dr. Bianca Witzschel
JVA Chemnitz
Thalheimer Str.
29 09125 Chemnitz

Personal handover on

February 2, 2026

In copy to

Federal Ministry of Health
11055 Berlin
Fax: 49 30 18441-4900

My perspective regarding your reply to Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

Dear Secretary of Health, Mrs. Warken,

May I briefly introduce myself. My name is Dr. med. Bianca Sabine Witzschel, PhD, medical specialist in clinical pharmacology and toxicology, as well as general medicine. I earned my PhD on the topic of detecting Primidone (Desoxyphenobarbital) in blood and saliva.

This week I am required to report to Chemnitz penitentiary in Germany to begin serving a sentence of one year and four and a half months. The total duration of my sentence is two years and eight months, of which I have already spent 476 days in pre-trial detention.

As I am personally affected by the subject matter, I carefully read both the transcript of the video message by U.S. Secretary of Health Robert F. Kennedy Jr. and your reply to it.

You may not be familiar with the circumstances that led to my conviction, and therefore I feel compelled to inform you.

In 2020 and 2021, I issued medical exemption certificates for my patients, exempting them from the public mandates to wear face masks, undergo nasal PCR testing, and receive COVID-19 vaccinations.

Each patient (or parents on behalf of their children) was required to complete and sign a comprehensive medical history form (anamnesis). In many cases, additional details were discussed during a personal medical consultation. A bioresonance analysis was then performed, the contents of the exemption certificate were explained, and the certificate was subsequently issued.

On February 1, 2022, my medical license was revoked. One year later, on February 28, 2023, during my fifth house search, I was forcibly awakened at 6:00 a.m., arrested, brought before a custody judge, and then transferred to Chemnitz prison.

In November 2023, 40 scheduled court hearings began at the high-security prison in Dresden. During transportation between Chemnitz prison and the Dresden facility at Hammerweg, I was handcuffed and shackled.

At the Dresden high-security prison, I remained handcuffed and physically restrained by an officer until I was seated in the courtroom between my two defense attorneys. Only then were the restraints removed.

I was held in custody for 476 days. After my sentencing on June 17, 2024, I was initially released to return home, albeit under severe restrictions.

My constitutional appeal was rejected in August 2025. On January 22, 2026, I received an order from the Dresden public prosecutor's office to report for imprisonment within two weeks, meaning I must begin serving my sentence this week.

In addition, I am threatened with further criminal proceedings based on similar allegations. Beyond issuing the aforementioned certificates, I was accused of being a right-wing extremist. During one house search, a clearly non-functional flashlight was found, which allegedly could have had a taser function in emergencies. On this basis, I am now even accused of illegal weapons possession.

I must state plainly that this labeling of me as a so-called "Reichsbürger" permeated the entire trial and served an obvious purpose: to discredit me.

Now I would like to return to the substance of the medical certificates I issued.

Through my professional work, I have acquired the knowledge necessary to think and act independently as a physician.

FFP2 masks are not designed to stop viruses and do not provide protection against mutual infection. This is clearly stated in manufacturer data sheets, including those published before the COVID era.

FFP2 masks were originally designed to protect against dust exposure and are therefore made of highly airtight material.

They are not medical products and were never required to undergo medical testing. These so-called dust masks may only be worn under strictly defined conditions:

- a maximum of 90 minutes,
- followed by a 30-minute break,
- and the mask must then be replaced.

Wearing such masks leads to rebreathing and thus to elevated carbon dioxide levels in the blood, which inevitably causes acidosis—in healthy and sick individuals alike, regardless of age. The brain is affected first.

Due to moisture and warmth beneath the mask, the oral and nasal mucosa are at risk, potentially resulting in respiratory infections and dermatological conditions.

People who wear glasses face additional risks due to condensation, which can impair concentration and significantly increase the risk of accidents.

The psychological burden must also be addressed, as countless people were forced against their will to wear these masks.

For small children, the inability to see their mother's facial expressions while she wears a mask constitutes a serious developmental burden and is in no way beneficial to a child's healthy development.

From my perspective as a PhD physician, each of these points alone constitutes a valid medical indication for issuing a mask exemption certificate—even for individuals who appear healthy—in order to prevent harm.

Certificates limiting SARS-CoV-2 testing to saliva-based tests only are equally justified, as these tests yield results equivalent to conventional tests while avoiding injury caused by nasal swabs.

Finally, my vaccination exemption certificates were intended to protect many people—both minors and adults—from now-documented adverse effects of the injections, which were introduced without sufficient long-term testing.

I was also accused of financial fraud, alleging that I earned money by issuing these certificates en masse or prepared them in advance at home.

This accusation is unfounded. I did not charge increased fees, and I fail to see what could be unlawful about preparing certificate templates myself. I had no receptionist, and therefore prepared documents on the practice computer in advance. These documents were issued only after personal consultation, always directly to the patient.

Nor can I be faulted for using bioresonance diagnostics. For exemption certificates, no specific examination methods are legally required. These were not certificates of health status. Among physicians who practice alternative medicine, bioresonance is considered a valid diagnostic tool, and it is certainly no less informative than the often non-existent examinations conducted in vaccination centers.

As a physician and PhD, I have always acted in the best interest of my patients, in accordance with the oath I swore.

For clarity, I cite it here:

WMA Declaration of Geneva – The Physician's Pledge

*As a member of the medical profession,
I solemnly pledge to dedicate my life to the service of humanity;
The health and well-being of my patient will be my first consideration;
I will respect the autonomy and dignity of my patient;
I will maintain the utmost respect for human life;
I will not permit considerations of age, disease or disability, creed, ethnic origin, gender, nationality, political affiliation, race, sexual orientation, social standing or any other factor to intervene between my duty and my patient;
I will respect the secrets confided in me, even after the patient has died;
I will practice my profession with conscience and dignity and in accordance with good medical practice;
I will foster the honor and noble traditions of the medical profession;
I will give to my teachers, colleagues, and students the respect and gratitude they deserve;
I will share my medical knowledge for the benefit of the patient and the advancement of healthcare;
I will attend to my own health and well-being in order to provide care of the highest standard;
I will not use my medical knowledge to violate human rights and civil liberties, even under threat;
I make these promises solemnly, freely, and upon my honor.*

The core principle of medical ethics remains:

Primum non nocere — First, do no harm.

Dear Mrs. Warken,

I grew up in the former German Democratic Republic, under a system of injustice. It is with deep pain that I now perceive the Federal Republic of Germany to be moving along a similar path.

But I wish to make one thing clear:

We East Germans have not forgotten how essential it is to stand firm in one's convictions—and to stand up for them.

Sincerely yours

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Bianca Sabine Witzschel".

Dr.med. Bianca Sabine Witzschel, PhD.